Incidence, risk factors and case fatality rate for hip fracture in Chile: A cross-sectional study based on 2017 national registries

Maximiliano Barahona^{a,*},⁽ⁱ⁾, Álvaro Martínez^{b,(i)}, Julián Brañes^{a,b,(i)}, Daniel Rodríguez^{a,(i)}, Cristian Barrientos^{a,b,(i)}

^a Departamento Ortopedia y Traumatología, Hospital Clínico Universidad de Chile, Santiago, Chile
^b Departamento Ortopedia y Traumatología, Hospital San José, Santiago, Chile

* **Corresponding author** maxbarahonavasquez@gmail.com

Citation Barahona M, Martínez A, Brañes J, Rodríguez D, Barrientos C. Incidence, risk factors and case fatality rate for hip fracture in Chile: A cross-sectional study based on 2017 national registries. *Medwave* 2020;20(5):e7939

Doi 10.5867/medwave.2020.05.7939

Submission date 15/1/2020 Acceptance date 10/6/2020 Publication date 23/6/2020

Origin Not commissioned.

Type of review Externally peer-reviewed by three reviewers, double-blind.

Keywords Databases, Hip fracture, Mortality, Epidemiology

Abstract

Purpose

To describe the incidence of hip fracture in Chile during 2017, identify risk factors for in-hospital mortality, and estimate annual case fatality rate.

Method

The study design was cross-sectional and analytical. We obtained the registries of all patients from the Chilean Ministry of Health who were treated for hip fracture between January 1, 2017, and December 31, 2017. We used multivariate logistic regression to estimate the risk factors for in-hospital mortality. The annual case fatality rate was calculated using the probabilities obtained by estimating a logistic regression model in previous work. The estimated crude mortality rate per 100 000 persons was compared with that of cancer, as reported by the Ministry of Health.

Results

During 2017, 7421 hip fractures occurred, resulting in an incidence of 40 per 100 000 persons. Of these, 1574 (21.21%) cases did not undergo surgery. In-hospital mortality was found to be associated with no surgery (odds ratio 8.32, 6.20 to 11.17), and being treated in

a public hospital (odds ratio 1.62, 1.00 to 2.68). The estimated annual case fatality rate was 0.30 (0.27 to 0.33), and the crude mortality rate per 100 000 persons was 10.78 (9.66 to 11.71).

Conclusions

Hip fractures mainly affect women and people over 60. Chile has an excessively high rate of non-operated patients compared to international reports. There is a significant difference between care in a public hospital compared to private clinics in terms of volume, access to surgery, hospital stay, in-hospital mortality, and estimated case fatality rate.

Main messages

- Hip fracture frequently occurs in those over 60, which requires a multidisciplinary focus and has a mortality rate close to 30%, which is troublesome considering the aging Chilean population with its consequently increased incidence.
- This study presents solid arguments to elaborate and improve public health policy relating to hip fracture from a preventive standpoint.
- The main limitation of this study is the refusal by public institutions to provide information about the date of surgery and the patient's date of death, which impeded gathering the complete picture of the 2017 cohort.



Introduction

Hip fracture is a frequent event, especially in patients older than 60, and requires an interdisciplinary approach¹. Chile is no stranger to the realities of an aging population; therefore, an increase in the occurrence of hip fractures can be expected.

The first step for intervention in health problems is to know the magnitude². The proportion of patients who died one year after the event, known as the case fatality rate, is one of the leading health indicators of hip fracture, which has traditionally been reported as one-third of the population. Most recent reports show a case fatality rate of 23.3% in Europe and 24.9% in the United States³, while in Asia, countries have managed to decrease this rate to less than 15%⁴.

In Chile, two types of health institutions coexist—health-care centers belonging to the public network and private centers. Citizens can sign up to a public insurer called the National Health Fund (FONASA, in Spanish), or private insurers, known as ISAPREs (*Instituciones de Seguridad Previsional*). Patients affiliated with the National Health Fund can be treated in the public health-care sector or choose to receive medical attention in a private health-care center if they can afford it. Patients affiliated with ISAPREs are cared for in the private system most of the time, yet in some cases, especially outside the capital, patients are bound to seek medical care in the public sector. Between 75% and 80% of the inhabitants in Chile are affiliated with the National Health Fund⁵.

The purpose of this study is to describe the incidence of hip fracture in Chile during 2017, to identify risk factors for in-hospital mortality, and to estimate the case fatality rate. The analysis focused on patients over 60.

Methods

An analytical cross-sectional study was designed and approved by our institution's ethics committee. The Chilean Health Ministry was queried through the Freedom of Information Act, to report all the patients who had been treated for hip fractures between January 1, 2017, and December 31, 2017. The request included every patient's date of birth, sex, date of admission, date of discharge, date of surgery, institution that granted treatment, in-hospital death, if the patient was alive as of July 30, 2019, and, if diseased, the date of death. The information was received, except for the date of surgery and if the patient was alive as of July 30, 2019. We were not able to obtain this information despite several formal attempts to retrieve it. Only the data on whether or not the patient underwent surgery was informed. The search was conducted for codes: s72.0 (head and neck fracture of the femur), s72.1 (pertrochanteric fracture), and s72.2 (subtrochanteric fracture of the femur), according to the 10th International Classification of Diseases. The information was provided using a unique code per patient to identify cases that were transferred

from one center to another for the same event, avoiding double registration.

Continuous variables are summarized in median, range, and interquartile range. In the categorical variables, absolute and percentage frequency were used. The nonparametric medians comparison test was used to compare continuous variables, while the Fisher test was used to compare categorical variables. A p-value < 0.05 was interpreted as significant.

The general population data to estimate the incidence were obtained from the 2017 statistical compendium published by the National Statistics Institute of Chile⁵.

Multivariate logistic regression was estimated to assess the risk factors for in-hospital mortality. Variables were included in the model if they reached a probability of less than 0.05. The Hosmer-Lemeshow goodness of fit test was used to validate the model. Receiver Operating Characteristic curve was constructed to estimate discrimination capacity.

To estimate the one-year case fatality rate, the probabilities reported in a previous study were used, in which mortality was compared between a public and a private center in Chile. This study shows that the probability of dying at one-year after a hip fracture treated in a public center is 0.21 (95% confidence interval: 0.19 to 0.23) if the patient underwent surgery and 0.61 (95% confidence interval: 0.54 to 0.68) if the patient did not undergo surgical treatment. While in a private center the probability is 0.14 (95% confidence interval: 0.12 to 0.16) if the patient underwent surgery and 0.49 (95% confidence interval: 0.41 to 0.58) if the patient was not treated with surgery⁶.

The crude death rate per 100 000 inhabitants was calculated using the estimated number of deaths in patients older than 60 divided by the total population of Chile, as reported by the Chilean National Statistics Institute⁵.

Finally, the estimated total number of deaths is compared to the total number of deaths by cancer that occurred in Chile during 2016, according to the Ministry of Health⁷. Also, the crude death rate estimated per 100 000 habitants is compared to that reported by the Ministry of Health for each type of cancer⁸. 95% confidence intervals were determined, and the Stata version 15 program (StataCorp LP, College Station, Texas, USA) was used.

Results

General data

A total of 7421 hip fractures were treated in Chile in 2017, of which 6542 (88.15%) were patients older than 60. The median age was 81 (range, 1 to 106; interquartile range, 72 and 88). Women are the most affected with 5393 cases (72.67%). Table 1 summarizes the variables studied by age group.

	<20	21 a 40	41 a 60	61 a 80	> 80	Total
Ν	127	278	524	2562	3930	7421
Age (IQR)	11 (5 a 17)	31 (26 a 36)	54 (49 a 58)	74 (69 a 77)	87 (84 a 91)	81 (72 a 88)
Women	43 (34%)	63(23%)	243 (46%)	1845 (72%)	3199 (81%)	5393
Fonasa	101 (80%)	189 (68%)	421 (80%)	2233 (87%)	3434 (87%)	6378 (86%)
Public	100 (78%)	187 (67%)	407 (78%)	2140 (84%)	3180 (80%)	6014 (81%)
ISAPRE	15 (13%)	39 (17%)	57 (12%)	192 (8%)	214 (6%)	517 (6%)
Fonasa -	5 (5%)	32 (17%)	34 (8%)	137(6%)	296 (9%)	504 (8%)
Private	0 (070)	3=(1,7,9)	01(0/0)	10 ((0 / 0)		001(070)
Fonasa -	96 (95%)	157 (83%)	387 (92%)	2096 (94%)	3138 (91%)	5874 (92%)
public	50 (5070)	107 (0070)	007 (2270)	2000 (0100)	0100 (7170)	00/1 (/=/0)
I HS (IOR)	4(1 + 52)(1 + 8)	7(1 + 98)(3 + 13)	8(1 + 147)(4 + 18)	11 (1 a 147) (7 a 20)	11 (1 a 259) (7 a 20)	11 (1 a 259) (6 a 19)
Public-LHS	5(1 a 52)(1 a 8)	7(1 a 98)(3 a 13) 7(1 a 98)(4 a 14)	10(1 + 147)(5 + 21)	12 (1 a 147) (7 a 20) 12 (1 a 147) (7 a 21)	12 (1 a 259) (7 a 20) 12 (1 a 259) (7 a 22)	12 (1 a 259) (0 a 19) 12 (1 a 259) (7 a 21)
(IOR)	5 (1 a 52) (1 a 6)	/ (1 a)0) (+ a 1+)	10 (1 a 147) (3 a 21)	12(1 a 1 + 7)(7 a 21)	12(1 a 25)(1 a 22)	12(1 a 23)(7 a 21)
Private _	2(0, 2, 37)(1, 2, 4)	5(0,2,81)(3,2,10)	5(1 + 129)(4 + 8)	7(1 + 117)(5 + 11)	7(1 + 70)(5 + 13)	7(1 + 129)(5 + 12)
I HS (IOR)	2 (0 a 57) (1 a 1)	5 (0 4 01) (5 4 10)	5 (1 a 125) (1 a 0)	/ (1 a 11 /) (5 a 11)	(1 <i>u</i> / 0) (5 <i>u</i> 15)	(1 a 12) (3 a 12)
ISADRE	$2(1 \circ 3)(1 \circ 3)$	(3, 0, 7) $(3, 0, 7)$	$5(4 \circ 6)(4 \circ 6)$	$6(4 \circ 9)(4 \circ 9)$	(5, 0, 0) $(5, 0, 0)$	$6(4 \circ 9)(4 \circ 9)$
I HS (IOR)	2(1 a 3)(1 a 3)	+ (3 a /) (3 a /)	5 (+ a 0) (+ a 0)	0 (+ a) (+ a)	0(3a)(3a)	0(7 a)(7 a)
Eopasa	$5(1 \circ 52)(1 \circ 8)$	$8(1 \circ 98)(4 \circ 14)$	10(1 + 147)(5 + 21)	$12(1 \circ 147)(7 \circ 21)$	12 (1 o 250) (7 o 21)	11 (1 a 259) (6 a 20)
I HS (IOR)	5 (1 a 52) (1 a 6)	0 (1 a)0) (4 a 14)	10 (1 a 147) (3 a 21)	12(1 a 1 + 7)(7 a 21)	12(1 a 25)(7 a 21)	11(1 a 255)(0 a 20)
Eonasa-	3(1 a 8)(1 a 3)	8(1 + 41)(3 + 15)	$5(1 \circ 86)(4 \circ 8)$	6(1,2,49)(4,2,8)	6(1 + 54)(4 + 9)	6(1 + 286)(4 + 29)
Pollasa-	J (1 a 0) (1 a J)	0 (1 a 41) (5 a 15)	J (1 a 00) (4 a 0)	0 (1 a 4) (4 a 0)	0(1 a 34)(4 a 7)	0 (1 a 00) (4 a))
(IOP)						
No surgery	33 (260/-)	58(210/2)	108(210/2)	486 (100/-)	880 (230/-)	1574 (21%)
Public po	25(2070)	JO(2170) 43(23%)	07(24%)	460 (1976)	807 (25%)	1374(2170) 1426(24%)
i ubiic no	25 (2570)	45(2570))/(2+/0)	454 (2170)	007 (2370)	1420 (2470)
Driveto No	8 (30%)	15 (16%)	11 (0%)	32 (80/-)	82 (10%)	148 (110/2)
Filvate INO	8 (3070)	13 (1070)	11 (970)	32 (870)	62 (1070)	140 (1170)
IS ADDE no	2(130/)	2 (50/-)	4 (70/-)	13(70/-)	14 (70/-)	25 (70/-)
ISAF KE 110	2(1370)	2 (370)	4 (770)	13(770)	14 (770)	33 (770)
Economic po	25 (25%)	13 (23%)	02(22%)	453 (20%)	816 (24%)	1420 (22%)
ronasa no	25 (2570)	45 (2570))2 (22/0)	455 (2070)	010 (2470)	1427 (2270)
Econom	2(40%)	7(220/2)	1 (20/-)	12 (0%)	25 (80/-)	47 (0%)
ronasa	2(4070)	7 (2270)	1 (370)	12 (970)	23 (870)	47 (970)
private no						
TUM	1 (0 70%)	1 (0 36%)	5 (0.05%)	58 (2 26%)	160 (4.07%)	225 (2.03%)
II IIVI Dublia II IM	1(0,7970) 1(1000/)	1(0,5070) 1(0.5207)	5(0,9570) 5(1,220/)	55(2,2070)	100(4,0770) 145(45607)	223(3,0370)
Public II IM	1 (1,0070)	1 (0,5570)	5 (1,2570)	$\frac{33(2,3770)}{2(0.710/)}$	143(4,3070)	207 (4, 3070)
Frivate IHM	0	0	U E (1 200/)	5(0, 71%)	15(2,00%)	10(1,20%)
Fonasa	1 (1,04%)	0	5 (1,29%)	55(2,55%)	143 (4,50%)	202 (3,44%)
Former	0	0	0	1 (0 73%)	3 (1 01%)	4 (0 70%)
Poliasa	U	U	U	1 (0,7570)	3 (1,0170)	4 (0,/970)
	0	0	0	1 (0 520/)	2(0.020/)	2 (0 5 9 9 /)
isapre IHM	0	0	0	1 (0,52%)	2 (0,93%)	5 (0,58%)

IQR= Interquartile range (p25-p75)

Fonasa = Patients belonging to the National Health Fund

Public = Patients treated in a public health center.

ISAPRE= Patients belonging to a private insurance institution

Private= Patients treated in a private health center

LHS = Length of hospital stay

IHM= In-hospital mortality

Incidence

An incidence of 40 cases per 100 000 inhabitants was estimated for the general population, while in those over 60, the incidence was 224 per 100 000 inhabitants. In women, the incidence was 57 per 100 000 women, and 316 per 100 000 women older than 60, while men had an incidence of 16 per 100 000 and 112 per 100 000 older than 60. The incidence of hip fracture is higher in patients belonging to the National Health Fund in those over 60 and in the female population, as shown in Table 2. The incidence by region in patients over 60 is shown in Table 3; the highest incidence was found in the Metropolitan (XIII) and Valparaíso (V) regions.

Table 2. Incidence per 100000 inhabitants.

Incidence	Fonasa	Other	Chile
Total	44	25	40
> 60 years old	230	189	224
Men	25	15	16
Women	63	40	57

*Rate per 100,000 inhabitants. FONASA= National health Fund

and unknow

Other= includes private insurance institutions (ISAPRE), Armed forces health insurance (CAPREDENA), National police health insurance (DIPRECA), no insurance

MEDave

Length of hospital stay

The median length of hospital stay was 11 days (range, 1 to 259; interquartile range, 6 to 19). In patients older than 60, the median length of hospital stay was 11 days (range, 1 to 159; interquartile range, 7 to 20). Patients older than 60 affiliated with the National Health Fund have a median hospital stay length of 12 days (range, 1 to 259; interquartile range, 7 to 21), while patients older than 60 affiliated with private insurance institutions have a median length of hospital stay of 6 days (range, 1 to 117; interquartile range, 5 to 9); this difference was statistically significant (p < 0.000). When comparing patients older than 60 who were affiliated with the National Health Fund and who were treated in a public health center (n =5271) with those over 60 who were affiliated with the National Health Fund but treated in private centers (n = 436), the length of hospital stay was 12 days (range, 1 to 259; interquartile range, 7 to 22) and six days (range, 1 to 54; interquartile range, 4 to 9) respectively. This difference was significant (p < 0.000) (Table1).

Surgical treatment

A total of 5847 patients (78.79%) underwent surgery, while 1574 (21.21%) did not undergo surgery. The number of patients older

than 60 without surgical intervention affiliated with the National Health Fund was 1280 (22.41%), while 27 patients (6.60%) affiliated with private insurance institutions did not undergo surgery. This difference was statistically significant (p < 0.000).

When patients are over 60 and affiliated with the National Health Fund, the odds ratio of not receiving surgery was 4.09 (2.75 to 6.07). The risk remains significant if the patient is affiliated with the National Health Fund and is between 60 and 80 years old (odds ratio, 3.5; 95% confidence interval, 1.98 to 6.21) or is over 80 years old (odds ratio, 4.45; 95% confidence interval, 2.57 to 7.70).

Patients affiliated with the National Health Fund and over 60 years old treated in a private health center have an odds ratio of 3.33 (95% confidence interval, 2.36 to 4.70) to undergo surgery compared with patients affiliated with the National Health Fund and over 60 but treated in a public health center. The I and XV regions have a proportion of patients with a hip fracture that underwent surgery greater than 90%, while regions II and IV have above 40% of non-surgical treatment for hip fracture. The proportion of patients treated with surgery by region in Chile is shown in Table 3.

Table 3. Analysis of the incidence of hi	o fracture by regions of	f Chile in patients over 60
--	--------------------------	-----------------------------

	,	1	, 0	1				
Region	> 60 years	FNS	ISP	NS	FNS-NS	ISP - NS	FNS public NS	FNS private NS
Ι	236	90 (91%)	2 (02%)	6 (06%)	4 (04%)	0 (n=2)	4 (04%)	NA
II	194	138 (89%)	7 (05%)	72 (46%)	64 (46%)	1 (14%)	56 (48%)	8(35%)
III	235	101 (95%)	1 (01%)	16 (15%)	14 (14%)	1 (100%)	14 (15%)	0 (n=5)
IV	143	173 (94%)	4 (02%)	73 (40%)	72 (42%)	0 (0%)	72(42%)	0 (n=3)
V	241	706 (88%)	29 (04%)	203(25%)	194 (27%)	2 (7%)	190 (29%)	4 (10%)
VI	223	318 (91%)	25 (07%)	75 (22%)	71 (22%)	4(16%)	69(23%)	2(11%)
VII	163	276 (95%)	3 (01%)	66 (23%))	63 (23%)	1 (33%)	61(23%)	2(15%)
VIII	212	693 (92%)	17 (02%)	205(27%)	192 (28%)	1 (6%)	192 (28%)	0 (n=7)
IX	222	339 (93%)	10 (03%)	69(19%)	65(19%)	1 (10%)	64(20%)	1(7%)
Х	213	256 (93%)	8 (03%)	66 (24%)	2 (25%)	63(25%)	62(24%)	1 (50%)
XI	69	8 (80%)	0	2(20%)	2 (25%)	NA	2 (29%)	0 (n=1)
XII	90	20 (83%)	0	7(29%)	6 (30%)	NA	5 (26%)	1 (100%)
XIII	250	2361 (82%)	295(10%)	488 (17%)	433 (18%)	14 (5%)	415 (20%)	18 (6%)
XIV	215	136 (94%)	5 (03%)	2 (22%)	32 (24%)	0 (n=5)	32 (26%)	NA
XV	214	77 (97%)	1 (01%)	4(05%)	4 (05%)	0 (n=1)	4 (5%)	0
CHILE**	224	6378(86%)	517(7%)		1280(22%)	27 (7%)	1243 (24%)	37(8%)

>60 years: Incidence per 100000 inhabitants over 60 years old.

FNS=Patients belong to the National Health Fund.

ISP= Patients belong to a private insurance institution.

NS = Patients did not undergo surgery.

Public = Patients treated in a public health center.

Private= Patients treated in a private health center

: In 27 cases () the region where the patient was treated was not informed by the Ministry of Health of Chile. The higher incidence was found in the Metropolitan and in region V. The I and IV regions reached the most proportion of patients with hip fracture that belong to the National Health Fund (FONASA). Meanwhile, the Metropolitan region has the highest rate of patients with a hip fracture that belong to a private insurance institution. Only two regions (I and XV) have a proportion above 90% of patients that underwent surgical treatment. On the other hand, the II and IV regions had a higher percentage of patients treated without surgery, which was above 40%.

In-hospital mortality

The number of patients who died during hospitalization was 225 (3.03%), of whom 219 (97.4%) were older than 60. Three patients (0.73%) older than 60 affiliated to a private insurance institution died in the hospital, while the number of patients older than 60 affiliated to the National Health Fund who died in the hospital was 201 (3.52%). The odds ratio to die for a patient older than 60 who was affiliated with the National Health Fund, was 5.72 (95% confidence

interval, 1.82 to 17.94) compared to a patient over 60 who was affiliated with ISAPRE. The number of patients affiliated with the National Health Fund older than 60 and treated in a public health care center who died in the hospital was 197 (3.74%), while the number of in-hospital deaths of patients older than 60 belonging to the National Health Fund treated in a private health care center was 4 (0.92%). The estimated odds ratio was 4.20 (95% confidence interval, 1.55 to 11.35). The estimated multivariate logistic model for in-hospital mortality shows that the significant risk factors were not performing surgery (odds ratio, 8.32; 95% confidence interval, 6.20 to 11.17), being male (odds ratio, 1.55; 95% confidence interval, 1.14 to 2.10), age (odds ratio, 1.05; 95% confidence interval, 1.03 to 1.06), being treated at a public health center (odds ratio, 1.62; 95% confidence interval, 1.00 to 2.68) and the length of hospital stay (odds ratio, 1.01; 95% confidence interval, 1.00 to 1.02). The estimated Receiver Operating Characteristic curve has an area under the curve of 0.82 (95% confidence interval, 0.80 to 0.85) (Figure 1), and probability in the goodness of fit test was 0.40. Therefore, the leading risk factor for inhospital mortality adjusted for age, sex, type of facility, and hospital stay is not to undergo surgery (Figure 2).

Figure 1. The estimated receiver operating curve after multivariate logistic regression for in-hospital mortality.



ROC: receiver operating characteristic curve.

The area under the curve was 0.82 (0.80-0.85), which is good discrimination, according to Hosmer and Lemshow. Source: Prepared by the authors based on the study results.

Figure 2. Shows the comparison of areas under the curve of the receiver operator curve for each variable included in the multivariate logistic model to predict in-hospital mortality.



ROC: receiver operating characteristic curve.

The greater area under the curve was 0.75 (0.72-0.78) for the variable No surgery. LHS= Length of hospital stay

Public = Patients treated in a public health center.

Source: Prepared by the authors based on the study results.

Estimation of one-year case fatality rate

The estimated one-year case fatality rate was 0.28 (95% confidence interval, 0.25 to 0.34), accounting for 1847 (95% confidence interval, 1644 to 2050) patients who died within one year after a hip fracture. The crude death rate per 100 000 inhabitants was 5.9 (95% confidence interval, 5.2 to 12.3) in male patients and 14.0 (95% confidence interval, 12.3 to 15.6) in female patients. The estimated case fatality rate of patients treated in private institutions was 0.17 (95% confidence interval, 0.15 to 0.19) and 0.30 (95% confidence interval, 0.27 to 0.34) for patients treated in public health-care centers. Table 4 compares the number of deaths and the crude death rate per 100 000 inhabitants to cancer deaths in Chile by type, as reported by the Ministry of Health.

Table 4. The absolute number of patients that were estimated to die from cancer after hip fracture in 20167 and the crude death rate of 20158 in women and men are shown.

Disease	Total number of death within a	Crude death rate per 100 000 inhab-	Crude death rate per 100 000 inhabitants in
	year ⁷	itants in men ⁸	women ⁸
Stomach	3250	25.2	12.3
Lung	3162	20.7	13.8
Prostate	2108	23.5	N/a
Hip fracture	1847 (1644-2050)	5.9 (5.2-12.3)	14.0 (12.3-15.6)
Colon	1861	9.6	11.0
Gallbladder	1602	5.9	12.5
Esophagus	650	4.3	3
Bladder	536	4.2	1.7
Cervix-uterine	624	N/a	6.8
Breast	1499	0.1	16.6
Pancreas	1478	7.2	7.8
Liver	1292	7.7	6.4
Kidney	848	5.7	3
Leukemia	794	4.2	4.2
Myeloma	475	N/a	N/a

Crude death rate as reported by the Ministry of Health of Chile.

The number of patients that were estimated to die within a year of hip fracture is equivalent to the number of patients who died as a consequence of colon cancer. The crude death rate in women is only behind breast cancer, while in men the crude death rate is equivalent to gallbladder cancer.



Discussion

The incidence of hip fracture in Chile in 2017 was 40 per 100 000 inhabitants, exceeding the reported global incidence for gastric cancer (15.7), lung cancer (31.5), and prostate cancer (29.3)⁷. A linear increase in the incidence of hip fracture is expected worldwide, mainly due to an aging population, and Chile is not an exception⁹.

The incidence of hip fracture in the population that is affiliated to the National Health Fund is higher than the population that is affiliated to private insurance institutions, resulting in higher demand for the public health care sector. This is reflected in the longer length of hospital stay reported in this study, which doubles the length of hospital stay in private health care centers. Even though the waiting time for surgery could not be obtained from the Ministry of Health, it has been previously reported that the waiting time for surgery is approximately two-thirds of the hospital stay⁶. It must be highlighted that waiting time for surgery is a parameter that significantly influences the one-year case fatality rate in hip fracture¹⁰⁻¹². The international standard recommends that patients undergo surgery within three days of admission¹³.

The standard treatment for a hip fracture is surgery¹⁴. We found an excessive number of patients who do not receive surgical procedures (22%) compared to international publications. In Northern Ireland, a study covering 15 years found that 1.3% of the patients did not undergo surgery⁹. In our study, the proportion of patients who did not undergo surgery was significantly higher in the public health care sector (24%) compared to the private sector (11%); however, both proportions are higher than the international reported standards.

In-hospital mortality in Europe ranges from 3.39% in Sweden to 7% in the United Kingdom³, while in our study, it was 3.35%. Although it is lower than the reported in European countries, we consider that this was mainly confounded by the high number of patients who do not undergo surgery. On the other hand, once more, there is a significant difference between in-hospital mortality in the public sector compared to the in-hospital mortality of the private sector.

The estimated one-year mortality is excessive, especially in public health care centers. In China, the one-year case fatality rate after hip fracture in patients between 95 and 100 years of age is 0.28⁴, which is less than the estimated for the Chilean population over 60 years old treated in a public health care center. Likewise, when compared to European countries, the estimated one-year case fatality rate in the Chilean public facilities would be in penultimate place, only surpassing the 0.34 one-year case fatality rate reported by Hungary³. We consider that the main factors that can explain this are the high proportion of patients who do not receive surgery and the waiting time for surgery¹⁵. The magnitude of the problem is evident when comparing the estimate of the total number of deaths and the crude death rate per 100 000 with specific cancers.

If Chile had a preventive and curative program for hip fracture as it does for cancer care, the probability of dying a year after a hip fracture could be cut in half. The estimated total number of deaths is equivalent to the number of deaths from colon cancer, which ranks fourth by type of cancer⁷. Furthermore, the crude mortality rate per 100 000 population in women is only surpassed by breast cancer⁸.

Hip fracture management must be interdisciplinary. It must begin with a screening for osteoporosis, a silent epidemic for which there

are no incidence data in our country¹⁶. Moreover, sarcopenia is another risk factor that increases the fragility of patients due to a higher risk of falls¹⁷. Finally, approximately half of the patients who suffer a hip fracture have already consulted in the emergency room for a fall, so it is a specific group of patients that require a focused effort to prevent hip fractures¹⁸.

Once the hip fracture has occurred, the patient should be admitted to the hospital, surgical treatment should be performed as soon as possible, and only be postponed if the patient requires an intervention that exceeds the risk of delaying the surgery12. Non-surgical treatment should only be used in a limited number of patients when the surgical risk is excessive¹³. A patient undergoing surgery for hip fracture grade IV according to the anesthetic risk classification by the American Association of Anesthesia has a probability of dving in the first three days post-surgery less than 0.02¹⁹. This risk must be compared to the one-year mortality of patients that do not receive surgical treatment, which reaches 60%20. The echocardiogram is a scarce resource in the public health sector of Chile, so its use in patients that require surgical treatment for fracture must not delay the surgery and should be reserved for selected cases. The main indication for echocardiogram according to the perioperative cardiovascular guidelines for evaluating patients undergoing noncardiac surgery of 2014 is decompensation in the prior month due to a known cardiac condition or if a new condition arises²¹. Additionally, there is an increasing interest in echocardiogram training from anesthesiologists; this must be encouraged to avoid delaying surgery. Finally, interdisciplinary treatment must include geriatricians who should be responsible for prevention to in-hospital and post-discharge care. A pathway involving geriatric care has been shown to improve the outcomes in hip fracture¹.

There is an important gap between the public health sector and the private health care sector in Chile in terms of volume, length of hospital stays, the proportion of surgical treatment, and in-hospital mortality. Furthermore, the estimated one-year case fatality rate increases the problem. Inequity has been a crucial issue in Chile in recent decades, with the quality of public health care representative of this inequality²². As recently as 2019, there have been intense protests about these inequities, and the quality of public health care is a central issue²³.

The Explicit Health Guarantees-a set of benefits guaranteed by law that guarantee access, opportunity, financial protection and quality of care in a limited list of diseases-was the major reform in Chilean public health in the last 20 years. For example, after acute myocardial infarction was included, the annual mortality decreased significantly²⁴. We consider that our study presents solid arguments to include hip fracture in the Explicit Health Guarantees, including screening and treatment of osteoporosis, access to exercise programs to prevent falls, and access to surgical treatment as soon as possible. Scotland was able to decrease the one-year case fatality rate from hip fractures only by systematically auditing, monitoring, and registering all cases²⁵. The Society of Orthopedics and Traumatology of Chile, as well as other scientific societies, should be actively involved in developing national guidelines for the treatment of hip fracture, as adherence to national guidelines has had a positive impact on hip fracture mortality26.

The main limitation of this study is the refusal by public institutions to provide information about the date of surgery and date of death of patients; this prevented knowing the full reality of the 2017 cohort. However, we consider that the estimations included in this report are reliable; notwithstanding, this is also another argument for insisting on the need to have national registers that allow planning, especially in health conditions with high incidence and mortality rates.

Conclusions

In conclusion, hip fracture in Chile mainly affects the general population over 60 and women. A high proportion of patients that did not receive surgical treatment was found, of which the majority were patients belonging to the public health care sector. There is a significant difference between patients treated in a public or a private health care center in terms of volume, hospital stay, in-hospital mortality, and access to surgery. The estimated one-year case fatality rate was higher in the public health care sector compared to the private sector. Hip fracture is a strong candidate to be part of the Explicit Health Guarantees.

Notes

Authorship contributions

MB: Conceptualization, methodology, formal analysis, research, writing manuscript, project administration. AM: Methodology, resources, supervision, project administration. JB: Validation, research, writing and revising manuscripts, project administration. DR: Validation, writing and revising manuscript, project administration. CB: formal analysis, resources, writing and revising the manuscript, visualization and administration of the project.

Acknowledgments

The first author acknowledges the support of Leonel Barahona.

Competing interest

The authors declare that they have no conflicts of interest.

Funding

The authors did not receive funding to complete this study.

Ethics

On June 19, 2019, the ethics committee of the Hospital Clinic of Universidad de Chile approved this research.

Data access

According to the ethics committee of our institution, the data repository can be accessed if an accredited researcher makes a formal request for access to the ethics committee through the corresponding author.

From the editors

The original version of this manuscript was submitted in Spanish. This English version was submitted by the authors and has been copyedited by the Journal.

References

- Riemen AH, Hutchison JD. The multidisciplinary management of hip fractures in older patients. Orthop Trauma. 2016 Apr;30(2):117-122. | CrossRef | PubMed |
- Sáez-López P, Brañas F, Sánchez-Hernández N, Alonso-García N, González- Montalvo JI. Hip fracture registries: utility, description, and comparison. Osteoporos Int. 2017 Apr;28(4):1157-1166. | Cross-Ref | PubMed |
- Downey C, Kelly M, Quinlan JF. Changing trends in the mortality rate at 1-year post hip fracture - a systematic review. World J Orthop. 2019 Mar 18;10(3):166-175. | CrossRef | PubMed |

- Cui Z, Feng H, Meng X, Zhuang S, Liu Z, Ye K, et al. Age-specific 1year mortality rates after hip fracture based on the populations in mainland China between the years 2000 and 2018: a systematic analysis. Arch Osteoporos. 2019 May 25;14(1):55. | CrossRef | PubMed |
- 5. Instituto Nacional de Estadística. Compendio estadístico del 2017 elaborado. Chile. 2017. [Internet] | Link |
- Barahona M, Martinez A, Barrientos C, Cavada G, Brañes J, Palet M, et al., Survival Analysis after Hip Fracture in Chile in Patients Over 50 Years Old: A Comparative Analysis between a Private and a Public Health Center. 2019. [internet] | Link |
- Departamento de Estadística e Información de Salud. Serie de defunciones, mortalidad cruda, mortalidad ajustada por Tumores Malignos seleccionados según Sexo y Región, Chile 1997-2016. 2019. [Internet] | Link |
- 8. Ministerio de Salud de Chile. Plan Nacional de Cancer 2018-2028. 2017. [Internet] | Link |
- Tucker A, Donnelly KJ, McDonald S, Craig J, Foster AP, Acton JD. The changing face of fractures of the hip in Northern Ireland: a 15year review. Bone Joint J. 2017 Sep;99-B(9):1223-1231. | CrossRef | PubMed |
- 10. Beaupre LA, Khong H, Smith C, Kang S, Evens L, Jaiswal PK, et al. The impact of time to surgery after hip fracture on mortality at 30- and 90-days: Does a single benchmark apply to all? Injury. 2019 Apr;50(4):950-955. | CrossRef | PubMed |
- Sheehan KJ, Sobolev B, Guy P. Mortality by Timing of Hip Fracture Surgery: Factors and Relationships at Play. J Bone Joint Surg Am. 2017 Oct 18;99(20):e106. | CrossRef | PubMed |
- Vrahas MS, Sax HC. Timing of Operations and Outcomes for Patients With Hip Fracture-It's Probably Not Worth the Wait. JAMA. 2017 Nov 28;318(20):1981-1982. | CrossRef | PubMed |
- Sahota O, Currie C. Hip fracture care: all change. Age Ageing. 2008 Mar;37(2):128-9. | CrossRef | PubMed |
- Parker M, Johansen A. Hip fracture. BMJ. 2006 Jul 1;333(7557):27-30. | CrossRef | PubMed |
- Klestil T, Röder C, Stotter C, Winkler B, Nehrer S, Lutz M, et al. Impact of timing of surgery in elderly hip fracture patients: a systematic review and meta-analysis. Sci Rep. 2018 Sep 17;8(1):13933. | CrossRef | PubMed |
- Yu F, Xia W. The epidemiology of osteoporosis, associated fragility fractures, and management gap in China. Arch Osteoporos. 2019 Mar 8;14(1):32. | CrossRef | PubMed |
- Oliveira A, Vaz C. The role of sarcopenia in the risk of osteoporotic hip fracture. Clin Rheumatol. 2015 Oct;34(10):1673-80. | CrossRef | PubMed |
- Pierrie SN, Wally MK, Churchill C, Patt JC, Seymour RB, Karunakar MA. Pre- Hip Fracture Falls: A Missed Opportunity for Intervention. Geriatr Orthop Surg Rehabil. 2019 Jun 10;10:2151459319856230. | CrossRef | PubMed |
- Johansen A, Tsang C, Boulton C, Wakeman R, Moppett I. Understanding mortality rates after hip fracture repair using ASA physical status in the National Hip Fracture Database. Anaesthesia. 2017 Aug;72(8):961-966. | CrossRef | PubMed |
- Dinamarca-Montecinos JL, Améstica-Lazcano G, Rubio-Herrera R, Carrasco- Buvinic A, Vásquez A. Características epidemiológicas y clínicas de las fracturas de cadera en adultos mayores en un hospital público chileno [Hip fracture. Experience in 647 Chilean patients aged 60 years or more]. Rev Med Chil. 2015 Dec;143(12):1552-9. | Cross-Ref | PubMed |
- 21. San Román JA; Spanish Society of Cardiology Working Group for the 2014 ESC/ESA Guidelines on non-cardiac surgery; Expert Reviewers for the 2014 ESC/ESA Guidelines on non-cardiac surgery; Clinical Practice Guidelines Committee of the Spanish Society of Cardiology. Comments on the 2014 ESC/ESA Guidelines on Noncardiac Surgery: cardiovascular assessment and management. Rev Esp Cardiol (Engl Ed). 2014 Dec;67(12):980-5. | CrossRef | PubMed |
- López R, Miller SJ. Chile: the unbearable burden of inequality. World Development, 2008;36(12):2679-2695. | CrossRef |



- 23. Fraser B. Violent protests in Chile linked to health-care inequities. Lancet. 2019 Nov 9;394(10210):1697-1698. | CrossRef | PubMed |
- Nazzal C, Frenz P, Alonso FT, Lanas F. Effective universal health coverage and improved 1-year survival after acute myocardial infarction: the Chilean experience. Health Policy Plan. 2016 Jul;31(6):700-5. | CrossRef | PubMed |
- Currie CT, Hutchison JD. Audit, guidelines and standards: clinical governance for hip fracture care in Scotland. Disabil Rehabil. 2005 Sep 30-Oct 15;27(18-19):1099-105. | CrossRef | PubMed |
- Farrow L, Hall Á, Wood AD, Smith R, James K, Holt G, et al. Quality of Care in Hip Fracture Patients: The Relationship Between Adherence to National Standards and Improved Outcomes. J Bone Joint Surg Am. 2018 May 2;100(9):751-757. | CrossRef | PubMed |

Postal address Víctor Rae 4580, Las Condes Santiago, Chile



Esta obra de *Meduave* está bajo una licencia Creative Commons Atribución-No Comercial 3.0 Unported. Esta licencia permite el uso, distribución y reproducción del artículo en cualquier medio, siempre y cuando se otorgue el crédito correspondiente al autor del artículo y al medio en que se publica, en este caso, *Meduave*.