

Letters to the editor

Medwave 2016 Sep;16(8) doi: 10.5867/medwave.2016.08.6547

Clinical echography: What? who? what for?

Author: Héctor R. Díaz Águila[1]

Affiliation:

[1] Unidad de Medicina Intensiva del Hospital Universitario de Sagua la Grande, Villa Clara, Cuba

E-mail: <u>hectordiaz@infomed.sld.cu</u>

Citation: HR. Clinical echography: What? who? what for?. *Medwave* 2016 Sep;16(8) doi: 10.5867/medwave.2016.08.6547 Publication date: 15/9/2016

Dear Editor:

From the last decade of last century, more and more handy, compact and cheap ultrasound machines have been developed. In turn, the use of the clinical ultrasound has increased as support for the diagnosis and therapeutic answer and not only as a complementary test [1].

What is clinical echography? It is so called the ultrasound exploration carried out at the bedside of the patient. It is also called bedside echography, tracking echography or ultrasound or point of care ultrasound. It is considered the stethoscope of the XXI century [2].

Who carries out the clinical echography? The attending physician of the patient carries out the exploration with an ultrasound device that is placed next to the patient's bed. The training to perform it is not complex, there are even learning programs settled for medical students [3].

What for is the clinical echography carried out? With the aim of answering specific questions asked by the assistant physician, the answers must always be binary: yes or no [4]:

Does the patient present pleural effusion? Yes or No;

Does the patient have a pneumothorax? Yes or No;

Is there free liquid in the abdominal cavity? Yes or No.

Does clinical echography substitute the one carried out by sonographer specialists in their department? No, the clinical echography is quick, and is only performed for answering specific questions.

Which are the advantages of the clinical echography? It is performed in an expedite way, it is not necessary to transfer the patient to another department, it is reproducible in any moment, its results are obtained immediately, it avoids exposition to radiations and, it is of low cost. Its main disadvantage is that results depend on the experience of the operator [5].

This method should be introduced in health systems to improve quality of care in medical facilities from all levels of attention.

Notes

From the editor

The author originally submitted this article in Spanish and English. The *Journal* has not copyedited this English version.

Declaration of conflicts of interest

The author declares no conflicts of interest.

Financing

The author declares not having received any funding whatsoever for writing this letter.

Referencias

- Sánchez F, González de Caldas M, Schneider F. Ecografía Clínica ¿prueba complementaria o una parte más de la exploración rutinaria? Rev Pediatr Aten Primaria. 2014;16:361-5. | Link |
- Beltrán M, García G. Ultrasonography managed by internists: the stethoscope of 21st century? Rev Clin Esp (Barc). 2014 Apr;214(3):155-60. | CrossRef | PubMed |
- 3. Hoppmann R, Rao V, Bell F, Poston M, Howe D, Riffle S, et al. The evolution of an integrated ultrasound curriculum (iUSC) for medical students: 9-year experience. Crit Ultrasound J. 2015;7:18. | Link |
- Shrestha GS. Point-of-Care Ultrasonography: A "Third Eye" for Anesthesiologist. SM J Anesth. 2015;1:1001. | Link |
- Moore CL, Copel JA.Point-of-care ultrasonography. N Engl J Med. 2011 Feb 24;364(8):749-57.| <u>CrossRef</u> | <u>PubMed</u> |



Author address: [1] Carretera a Santa Clara 1 Reparto Victoria Sagua la Grande Villa Clara Cuba



Esta obra de Medwave está bajo una licencia Creative Commons Atribución-No Comercial 3.0 Unported. Esta licencia permite el uso, distribución y reproducción del artículo en cualquier medio, siempre y cuando se otorgue el crédito correspondiente al autor del artículo y al medio en que se publica, en este caso, Medwave.