

Living FRIendly Summaries of the Body of Evidence using Epistemonikos (FRISBEE)

Medwave2017;17(Suppl2):e6894 doi: 10.5867/medwave.2017.6894

Are steroids effective in toxic epidermal necrolysis and Stevens-Johnson syndrome?

Authors: Rodrigo Meza[1,2], Gabriel Rada[2,3,4,5,6], Pablo Varas[2,3]

Affiliation:

[1] Facultad de Medicina, Pontificia Universidad Católica de Chile, Santiago, Chile

[2] Proyecto Epistemonikos, Santiago, Chile

[3] Departamento de Medicina Interna, Facultad de Medicina, Pontificia Universidad Católica de Chile, Santiago, Chile

[4] Programa de Salud Basada en Evidencia, Facultad de Medicina, Pontificia Universidad Católica de Chile, Santiago, Chile

[5] GRADE working group

[6] The Cochrane Collaboration

E-mail: pnvaras@uc.cl

Citation: Meza R, Rada G, Varas P. Are steroids effective in toxic epidermal necrolysis and Stevens-Johnson syndrome?. *Medwave*2017;17(Suppl2):e6894 doi: 10.5867/medwave.2017.6894 **Submission date:** 29/12/2016 **Acceptance date:** 30/12/2016 **Publication date:** 5/4/2017

Abstract

Toxic epidermal necrolysis and Stevens-Johnson syndrome are severe adverse skin reactions to medications and infections. Steroids are described as a therapeutic alternative, but their use is still controversial. To answer this question, we searched in Epistemonikos database, which is maintained by screening multiple information sources. We identified four systematic reviews including 11 primary studies answering the question of interest. We extracted data, conducted a meta-analysis and generated a summary of findings table using the GRADE approach. We concluded it is not clear whether steroids reduce mortality or hospital stay in toxic epidermal necrolysis and Stevens-Johnson syndrome because the certainty of the evidence is very low.

Problem

Toxic epidermal necrolysis and Stevens-Johnson syndrome are severe, idiosyncratic skin reactions, mainly caused by drug exposure, or secondary to bacterial and viral infections. They are both considered part of the spectrum of the same disease characterized by generalized epidermal necrosis secondary to keratinocytes apoptosis, and differentiated according to the extent of cutaneous involvement. The main pathway leading to apoptosis is the binding of FAS, a membrane receptor present in keratinocytes, with its ligand.

The therapeutic management includes general measures of support, prevention of complications and the use of different immunosuppressive drugs such as systemic steroids. However, their effectiveness in the treatment of toxic epidermal necrolysis and Stevens-Johnson syndrome is controversial and its use is associated with significant adverse effects.

Methods

We used Epistemonikos database, which is maintained by screening multiple information sources, to identify systematic reviews and their included primary studies. With this information we generated a structured summary using a pre-established format, which includes key messages, a summary of the body of evidence (presented as an evidence matrix in Epistemonikos), meta-analysis of the total of studies, a summary of findings table following the GRADE approach and a table of other considerations for decision-making.



Key messages

• It is not clear whether steroids reduce mortality or hospital stay in toxic epidermal necrolysis and Stevens-Johnson syndrome compared to support measures, because the certainty of the evidence is very low.

About the body of evidence for this question

What is the evidence. See evidence matrix in Epistemonikos later	We found four systematic reviews [1],[2],[3],[4] including 11 primary studies [5],[6],[7],[8],[9],[10],[11],[12],[13],[14],[15]. None is a randomized controlled trial.
What types of patients were included	All of the studies included patients diagnosed with Stevens-Johnson syndrome (<10% of cutaneous involvement), Stevens-Johnson syndrome/toxic epidermal necrolysis overlap (10% to 30% of cutaneous involvement) and/or toxic epidermal necrolysis (>30% of cutaneous involvement). Seven studies [5],[6],[7],[8],[9],[10],[11] included patients older than 18 years, and four studies [12],[13],[14],[15] only included children. Only three studies [7],[9],[11] reported the percentage of cutaneous involvement. Six studies [6],[7],[8],[9],[10],[11] reported the etiology, with drugs being the leading cause.
What types of interventions were included	The included studies used different types of steroids, doses, route of administration, and duration. The use of oral prednisone, intravenous dexamethasone and intravenous methylprednisolone (with or without an initial bolus) was reported. Three of the studies [6],[8],[9] also incorporated intravenous immunoglobulin.
What types of outcomes were measured	The studies measured multiple outcomes. However, those that were grouped in the identified reviews were: • Mortality • Hospital stay • Fever duration • Evolution of lesions • Complications • Superinfection • Need of intravenous fluids

Summary of findings

The information about the effects of steroids on toxic epidermal necrolysis and Stevens-Johnson syndrome is based on 11 observational studies [5],[6],[7],[8],[9],[10],[11],[12],[13],[14],[15]. It was not possible to extract the information of the primary studies from the systematic reviews, in order to rebuild the meta-analysis. So, the information is presented here such as presented in the systematic reviews [1],[2],[3],[4]. The summary of findings is as follows:

- It is not clear whether steroids reduce mortality in toxic epidermal necrolysis and Stevens-Johnson syndrome compared to support measures, because the certainty of the evidence is very low.
- It is not clear whether steroids reduce hospital stay in toxic epidermal necrolysis and Stevens-Johnson syndrome compared to support measures, because the certainty of the evidence is very low.
- The use of systemic steroids is associated to several adverse effects, probably frequent when treating toxic epidermal necrolysis and Stevens-Johnson syndrome. The certainty of the evidence is moderate.



Steroids for toxic epidermal necrolysis and Stevens-Johnson syndrome			
Patients Intervention Comparison	Toxic epidermal necrolysis, Stevens-Johnson syndrome and Stevens- Johnson syndrome/toxic epidermal necrolysis overlap Systemic steroids Support management		
Outcomes	Impact	Certainty of the evidence (GRADE)	
Mortality	None of the systematic reviews found benefits with the use of systemic steroids. One of them provides a pooled measure based on three studies; <i>mortality</i> <i>ratio</i> * of 0.92 (95% CI: 0.53 to 1.48) [2]. The other reviews present the results of each study separately, concluding they have limitations and reach to discordant results [1], [3], [4].	⊕OOO ^{1,2,3} Very low	
Hospital Stay	All of the reviews present the results of each study separately, agreeing on their limitations and their discordant results.	⊕OOO ^{1,2} Very low	
Adverse effects	None of the systematic reviews assessed adverse effects within their outcomes. However, in similar populations, frequent and severe adverse effects have been reported with high doses of steroids [1], [12].	⊕⊕⊕O⁴ Moderate	
Margin of error = 95% confidence interval CI = confidence interval GRADE: evidence grades of the GRADE Working Group (see later in this article) * Mortality ratio: calculated based on the frequency of observed and expected deaths (according to SCORTEN prognostic score).			
 ¹ Evidence comes from observational studies. ² The certainty of the evidence was downgraded because the studies have a high risk of bias. All of the studies are retrospective, among other limitations. ³ The certainty of the evidence was downgraded for imprecision, due to the wide confidence interval of the results. ⁴ Evidence comes from studies in different populations. 			

About the certainty of the evidence (GRADE)*

⊕⊕⊕⊕

High: This research provides a very good indication of the likely effect. The likelihood that the effect will be substantially different[†] is low.

€€€O

Moderate: This research provides a good indication of the likely effect. The likelihood that the effect will be substantially different⁺ is moderate

⊕⊕00

Low: This research provides some indication of the likely effect. However, the likelihood that it will be substantially different⁺ is high.

⊕0000

Very low: This research does not provide a reliable indication of the likely effect. The likelihood that the effect will be substantially different[†] is very high.

*This concept is also called 'quality of the evidence' or 'confidence in effect estimates'. † Substantially different = a large enough difference that it might affect a decision.



Other considerations for decision-making

To whom this evidence does and does not apply

- The evidence presented in this summary is broadly applicable to patients of any age with toxic epidermal necrolysis, Stevens-Johnson syndrome and Stevens-Johnson syndrome/toxic epidermal necrolysis overlap.
- The systemic steroids used in the included studies were methylprednisolone, dexamethasone and prednisone, which correspond to drugs usually administered in clinical practice.

About the outcomes included in this summary

• This summary considers as critical outcomes for decision-making those that are relevant from the perspective of the authors. These coincide with those presented in the systematic reviews analyzed and in the main clinical guidelines, except the outcome adverse effects that was not evaluated in the systematic reviews.

Balance between benefits and risks, and certainty of the evidence

 It is not possible to balance risks and benefits, because the certainty about the benefits is very low.

What would patients and their doctors think about this intervention

- In view of the evidence presented in this summary, most clinicians should lean against the use of this intervention.
- However, taking into account the lack of effective alternatives in the management of this condition, and the existence of contradictory recommendations in the current guidelines, some physicians could decide to use a therapeutic measure whose effects have not been proven.
- In this setting, it is essential to emphasize the limitations of the existing evidence to all those involved in the decision.

Resource considerations

- It is not possible to provide a cost/benefit estimation due to the uncertainty about the benefits.
- In general, systemic steroids are drugs of low-cost and widely available. This factor should probably not be determinant in the decision-making process.

Differences between this summary and other sources

- The conclusions of this summary are consistent with the findings of the analyzed systematic reviews.
- There is variability in the recommendations of the main clinical guidelines regarding the management of toxic epidermal necrolysis and Stevens-Johnson syndrome, but they agree in general with the conclusions of this summary. The American Burn Association [16] and the UK guidelines for the management of Stevens-Johnson syndrome/toxic epidermal necrolysis in adults [17] do not mention the use of systemic steroids within their recommendations.

Could this evidence change in the future?

- The possibility that future evidence lead to changes in the conclusions of this summary is very high due to the existing uncertainty, especially if it comes from randomized trials.
- According to the International Registry Platform for Controlled Trials of the World Health Organization, there are no ongoing trials regarding the use of systemic steroids compared to placebo or no treatment. We identified at least one trial evaluating the use of topical steroids [18].



How we conducted this summary

Using automated and collaborative means, we compiled all the relevant evidence for the question of interest and we present it as a matrix of evidence.



Starting from any systematic review, Epistemonikos builds a matrix based on existing connections in the database.

The author of the matrix can select relevant information for a specific health question (typically in PICO format) in order to display the information set for the question.

The rows represent systematic reviews that share at least one primary study, and columns display the studies.

The boxes in green correspond to studies included in the respective reviews.

Follow the link to access the **interactive version:** <u>Steroids for Stevens-Johnson syndrome and toxic</u> <u>epidermal necrolysis</u>

Notes

The upper portion of the matrix of evidence will display a warning of "new evidence" if new systematic reviews are published after the publication of this summary. Even though the project considers the periodical update of these summaries, users are invited to comment in *Medwave* or to contact the authors through email if they find new evidence and the summary should be updated earlier. After creating an account in Epistemonikos, users will be able to save the matrixes and to receive automated notifications any time new evidence potentially relevant for the question appears.

The details about the methods used to produce these summaries are described here

http://dx.doi.org/10.5867/medwave.2014.06.5997.

Epistemonikos foundation is a non-for-profit organization aiming to bring information closer to health decisionmakers with technology. Its main development is Epistemonikos database (<u>www.epistemonikos.org</u>).

These summaries follow a rigorous process of internal peer review.

Conflicts of interest

The authors do not have relevant interests to declare.

References

- Law EH, Leung M. Corticosteroids in Stevens-Johnson Syndrome/toxic epidermalnecrolysis: current evidence and implications for future research. AnnPharmacother. 2015 Mar;49(3):335-42 | <u>CrossRef</u> | <u>PubMed</u> |
- Roujeau JC, Bastuji-Garin S. Systematic review of treatments forStevens-Johnson syndrome and toxic epidermal necrolysis using the SCORTEN scoreas a tool for evaluating mortality. Ther Adv Drug Saf. 2011 Jun;2(3):87-94 | <u>CrossRef</u> | <u>PubMed</u> |
- Del Pozzo-Magana BR, Lazo-Langner A, Carleton B, Castro-Pastrana LI, Rieder MJ. A systematic review of



treatment of drug-induced Stevens-Johnson syndrome and toxic epidermal necrolysis in children. J PopulTherClinPharmacol. 2011;18:e121-33 | <u>PubMed</u> |

- Corrick F, Anand G. Question 2: Would systemic steroids be useful in the management of Stevens-Johnson syndrome? Arch Dis Child. 2013 Oct;98(10):828-30 | <u>CrossRef</u> | <u>PubMed</u> |
- Kardaun SH, Jonkman MF. Dexamethasone pulse therapy for Stevens-Johnsonsyndrome/toxic epidermal necrolysis. ActaDermVenereol. 2007;87(2):144-8 | <u>PubMed</u> |
- Kim HI, Kim SW, Park GY, Kwon EG, Kim HH, Jeong JY, et al. Causes and treatment outcomes of Stevens-Johnson syndrome and toxic epidermalnecrolysis in 82 adult patients. Korean J Intern Med. 2012 Jun;27(2):203-10 | <u>CrossRef</u> | <u>PubMed</u> |
- Kim KJ, Lee DP, Suh HS, Lee MW, Choi JH, Moon KC, et al. Toxic epidermalnecrolysis: analysis of clinical course and SCORTEN-based comparison of mortalityrate and treatment modalities in Korean patients. ActaDermVenereol.2005;85(6):497-502 | <u>PubMed</u> |
- Schneck J, Fagot JP, Sekula P, Sassolas B, Roujeau JC, Mockenhaupt M. Effectsof treatments on the mortality of Stevens-Johnson syndrome and toxic epidermalnecrolysis: A retrospective study on patients included in the prospectiveEuroSCAR Study. J Am AcadDermatol. 2008 Jan;58(1):33-40 | <u>PubMed</u> |
- Schulz JT, Sheridan RL, Ryan CM, MacKool B, Tompkins RG. A 10-year experience with toxic epidermal necrolysis. J Burn Care Rehabil. 2000 May-Jun;21(3):199-204 | <u>PubMed</u> |
- 10.Sekula P, Dunant A, Mockenhaupt M, Naldi L, BouwesBavinck JN, et al. Comprehensive survival analysis of a cohort of patients with Stevens-Johnsonsyndrome and toxic epidermal necrolysis. J Invest Dermatol. 2013May;133(5):1197-204 | <u>CrossRef</u> | <u>PubMed</u> |

- 11.Yang Y, Xu J, Li F, Zhu X. Combination therapy of intravenous immunoglobulinand corticosteroid in the treatment of toxic epidermal necrolysis andStevens-Johnson syndrome: a retrospective comparative study in China. Int JDermatol. 2009 Oct;48(10):1122-8 | <u>CrossRef</u> | <u>PubMed</u> |
- 12.Kakourou T, Klontza D, Soteropoulou F, Kattamis C. Corticosteroid treatment of erythema multiforme major (Stevens-Johnson syndrome) in children. Eur J Pediatr. 1997 Feb;156(2):90-3 | <u>PubMed</u> |
- 13.Ginsburg CM. Stevens-Johnson syndrome in children. Pediatr Infect Dis. 1982 May-Jun;1(3):155-8 | PubMed |
- 14.Léauté-Labrèze C, Lamireau T, Chawki D, Maleville J, Taïeb A. Diagnosis, classification, and management of erythema multiforme and Stevens-Johnson syndrome. Arch Dis Child. 2000 Oct;83(4):347-52 | <u>PubMed</u> |
- 15.Rasmussen JE. Erythema multiforme in children. Response to treatment with systemic corticosteroids. Br J Dermatol. 1976 Aug;95(2):181-6 | <u>PubMed</u> |
- 16.Endorf FW, Cancio LC, Gibran NS. Toxic epidermal necrolysis clinicalguidelines. J Burn Care Res. 2008 Sep-Oct;29(5):706-12 | <u>CrossRef</u> | <u>PubMed</u> |
- 17.Creamer D, Walsh SA, Dziewulski P, Exton LS, Lee HY, Dart JK, et al. UKguidelines for the management of Stevens-Johnson syndrome/toxicepidermal necrolysis in adults 2016 (print summary - Full guidelines available athttp://dx.doi.org/10.1016/j.bjps.2016.01.034). J PlastReconstrAesthet Surg.2016 Jun;69(6):736-41 | CrossRef | PubMed |
- 18.Wilken R, Li CS, Sharon VR, Kim K, Patel FB, Patel F, et al. Topical clobetasol for the treatment of toxic epidermal necrolysis: study protocol for a randomized controlled trial. Trials. 2015 Aug 22;16:374 | CrossRef | PubMed |

Author address: [1] Facultad de Medicina Pontificia Universidad Católica de Chile Diagonal Paraguay 476 Santiago Centro Chile.



Esta obra de Medwave está bajo una licencia Creative Commons Atribución-No Comercial 3.0 Unported. Esta licencia permite el uso, distribución y reproducción del artículo en cualquier medio, siempre y cuando se otorgue el crédito correspondiente al autor del artículo y al medio en que se publica, en este caso, Medwave.